



# United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO:  U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE /  U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Michael M. Anello

FROM: Valerie Mosqueda, Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: July 30, 2015
CASE NO. 11-md-02286-MMA-MDD	DOC FILED BY: David E. Mack
CASE TITLE: In Re: Midland Credit Management, Inc., Telephone Consumer Protection Act Litigation	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Order Granting Non-Attorney Litigants	

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

Civ.L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 - Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document;

Civ.L. Rule 5.1 - Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation;

**Date Forwarded:** August 4, 2015

## ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

### **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court copy retained by chambers

Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to CivLocal Rule 83.1

Date: August 4, 2015

CHAMBERS OF: Michael M. Anello

cc: All Parties

By: s/ Law Clerk